Mintz No. 5075-0043

MINTZ, LEVIN, COHN, FERRIS, GLOVSKY AND POPEO, P.C.

1400 PAGE MILL ROAD PALO ALTO, CALIFORNIA 94304-1124 (650) 251-7700 TELEPHONE (650) 251-7739 FACSIMILE WWW.MINTZ.COM

RECEIVED **CENTRAL FAX CENTER**

NOV 1 3 2006

FACSIMILE TRANSMITTAL SHEET

TO:

Mail Stop Amendment

FACSIMILE NO.: (571) 273-8300

USPTO

Group Art Unit 1621

FROM:

Flavio M. Rose

FACSIMILE NO.: (650) 251-7739

Reg. No. 40,791

TELEPHONE NO.: (650) 251-7700

DATE:

November 13, 2006

PAGE 1 OF: 5

SUBJECT:

U.S. Pat. Appl. Ser. No. 10/729,453

Filed December 4, 2003

CONFIRMATION COPY TO FOLLOW: TYES NO

Entitled "PRECURSORS TO

FLUOROALKANOL-CONTAINING

OLEFIN MONOMERS AND

ASSOCIATED METHODS OF SYNTHESIS

AND USE"

By Gregory BREYTA et al.

Atty Doc. No. ARC920030074U\$1

Please see the attached Amendment for the above-identified patent application.

TRA 2221840v.1

WARNING: This facsimile message and accompanying documents are intended only for the use of the addressec indicated above. Information that is privileged or otherwise confidential may be contained therein. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, copying, review, or use of the above message or the accompanying documents is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify us immediately by telephone or (acsimle, and mail the original to us at the above address. Thank you.

Mintz No. 5075-0043
PTO/SB21 (08-03)
Approved for use through 08/30/2003. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

TRANSMITTAL		Application Number	10/729,453	
		Filing Date	December 4, 2003	
FORM		First Named Inventor	Gregory BREYTA	t al. GECEIVED
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Art Unit	1621	CENTRAL FAYOR
(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)		Examiner Name	PRICE, Elvis O.	一
		Attorney Docket Number	ARC920030074US1	MOV 4 0 occor
Mail Stop Amendment		Attorney Docker (Authbe)	MICONEGGO TABLE	THUY T 3 ZUU
	ENCLOS	URES (Check all that apply	0	!!
No fee due Fee Transmittal Fee(s) due Check for \$* Charge any underpayment to Deposit Account No. 09-4 Return postcard Amendment/Reply After Final Affidavits/declaration Extension of Time R Express Abandonment Relinformation Disclosure St Form(s) PTO-1449 Copy(ies) of cited reference(s) Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) Response to Missing Par Incomplete Application Response to Missing under 37 CFR 1.52	Draw Licer Petit Pro O441 Tem Req CD, n(s) Request equest equest tatement Tes The Cor underpa	ving(s) nsing-related Papers ion ion to Convert to a visional Application er of Attorney, Revocation, ange of Correspondence tress ninal Disclaimer uest for Refund Number of CD(s):	After Allowar to a Techno Appeal Com of Appeals a Appeal Notice Proprietary I Status Lettel Other Enclosidentify belo	sure(s) (please w): additional or
	CICIA: CILE CI	Par Carlotty Control of the Control		
Firm or Individual Name (print/type)	Flavio M. Rose, Reg. No. 40,791 Mintz, Levin, Cohn, Ferris, Glovsky and Pope		o, P.C. Telephone	(650) 251-7700
Signature 7L-C-		Date	November 13, 2006	
	CERTI	FICATE OF TRANSMISSIO	N	
basela, and a that this parameter	nce is being facsimile tran	smitted to Examiner Elvis O. Price	e in Group Art Unit 1621 o	f the USPTO at facsimile
number (671) 273-8300 on the date	shown below.			
number (671) 273-8300 on the date	shown below. ine Stofer			

TRA 2221860v.1

Application No. 10/729,453
Response dated November 13, 2006
Response to Restriction Requirement dated October 12, 2006

In the United States Patent and Trademark Office

HECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

NOV 1 3 2008

In Re Application of:

Gregory BREYTA et al.

Confirmation No.: 1035

Serial No.: 10/729,453

Group Art Unit: 1621

Filing Date: December 4, 2003

Examiner: PRICE, Elvis O.

Title: PRECURSORS TO FLUOROALKANOL-CONTAINING OLEFIN MONOMERS AND

ASSOCIATED METHODS OF SYNTHESIS AND USE

RESPONSE TO REQUIREMENT FOR RESTRICTION

Mail Stop Amendment Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

This is in response to the Requirement for Restriction mailed October 12, 2006, in which the Examiner required restriction between I-VIII groups of claims which the Examiner describes as follows:

- (I) Claims 1-14 and 70, drawn to an aliphatic fluorinated polyol, classified in class 568;
- (II) Claims 15-27 and 71, drawn to an aliphatic fluoroalkanol ester, classified in class 560.
- (III) Claims 28-35, 39 and 72, drawn to a cycloaliphatic fluoroalkanol ester, classified in class 560.
- (IV) Claims 36-38, 40-43 and 73, drawn to a fluorodihydroxy ester, classified in class 560.
- (V) Claims 44 and 74, drawn to fluorotrihydroxy ester, classified in class 560.
- (VI) Claims 45-49, drawn to a method for making Group I compounds, classified in class 568.
- (VII) Claims 50-56, drawn to a method for making Group II compounds, classified in class 560.

Application No. 10/729,453
Response dated November 13, 2006
Response to Restriction Requirement dated October 12, 2006

(VIII) Claims 57-69, drawn to a method for making Groups IV or V compounds, classified in class 560.

In response, applicants elect Group II, claims 15-27 and 71, with traverse.

The restriction requirement is traversed because Groups II, III, IV, V, VII, and VIII are all classified in class 560. Furthermore, Groups II, III, IV, and V all correspond to compositions of matter classified in class 560.

According to the MPEP, a restriction requirement is not permissible unless there would otherwise be a "serious burden" placed on the examiner. MPEP § 803 states:

If the search and examination of all the claims in an application can be made without serious burden, the examiner must examine them on the merits, even though they include claims to independent or distinct inventions.

The Examiner has not explained in any way why the search of claims such as those of groups II and III or IV and V, all classified in class 560, would constitute a burden. Aside from their positions in the patent classification, the close relationship between groups IV and V for search purposes is further shown, for example, by the fact that the Examiner has placed together in Group VIII the claims addressing methods of synthesizing the compounds from both groups IV and V.

For these reasons, it is respectfully be requested that the Examiner withdraw the restriction requirement at least as it pertains to groups II, III, IV, and V, allowing those four groups to be prosecuted together in one application and allowing rejoinder of groups VII and VIII to occur when the conditions for doing so are met.

Applicants expressly reserve their right under 35 USC § 121 to file a divisional application directed to the non-elected subject matter during the pendency of this application.

If the Examiner has any questions concerning this communication, or would like to discuss the application, the art, or other pertinent matters, he is welcome to contact the undersigned attorney at his direct dial (650) 251-7712.

Atty Dkt No. ARC920030074US1 Mintz No. 5075-0043

Application No. 10/729,453
Response dated November 13, 2006
Response to Restriction Requirement dated October 12, 2006

Respectfully submitted,

By:

Flavio M. Rose, Reg. No. 40,791 c/o Mintz Levin 1400 Page Mill Road Palo Alto, California 94304-1124 (650) 251-7700 Telephone

(650) 251-7739 Facsimile

Customer No. 23980

Date: November 13, 2006

TRA 2220324v.1